

Title of the Invention

ARABITOL OR RIBITOL AS POSITIVE SELECTABLE MARKERS

Cross-reference to Related Applications

This application claims the benefit under 35 USC § 119(e) of Provisional Application Nos. 60/188,291 filed March 8, 2000 and 60/225,595 filed August 15, 2000.

Background of the Invention

The term "transformation" is generally understood in the biotech and chemical arts to refer to a stable incorporation of a foreign DNA or RNA into a cell which results in a permanent, heritable alteration in the cell. It is well known that when new genetic material is to be introduced into a population of cells by transformation, only a certain number of the cells are successfully transformed. It is then necessary to identify the genetically transformed cells so that these cells can be separated from the non-transformed cells of the population. Identification and separation of the transformed cells has traditionally been accomplished using "negative selection", whereby the transformed cells are able to survive and grow, while the non-transformed cells are subjected to growth inhibition or perhaps even killed by a substance which the transformed cells, by virtue of their transformation, are able to tolerate.

For example, when a population of plant cells is transformed, selection of the transformed cells typically takes place using a selection gene which codes for antibiotic or herbicide resistance. The selection gene--which in itself generally has no useful function in the transformed plant (and may in fact be undesirable in the plant) is coupled to or co-introduced with the desired gene to be incorporated into the plant, so that both genes are incorporated into the population of cells, or rather into certain of the cells in the population, since it is difficult, if not impossible, in practice to transform all of the cells. The cells are then cultivated on or in a medium containing the antibiotic or herbicide to which the genetically transformed cells are resistant by virtue of the selection gene, thereby allowing the transformed cells to be identified, since the non-transformed cells--which do not contain the antibiotic or herbicide resistance gene in question--are subjected to growth inhibition or are killed.

These negative selection methods have, however, certain disadvantages. First of all, the non-transformed cells may die because of the presence of antibiotics or herbicides in the growth medium. As a result, when the population of cells is a coherent tissue there is a risk that not only the non-transformed cells but also the transformed cells may die, due to the fact that the death of the non-transformed cells may cut off the supply of nutrients to the transformed cells or because the damaged or dying non-transformed cells may excrete toxic compounds.

Another disadvantage of negative selection is that the presence of an unnecessary gene, for example antibiotic resistance, may be undesirable. There is concern among environmental groups and governmental authorities about whether it is safe to incorporate genes coding for antibiotic resistance into plants and microorganisms. This concern is of particular significance for food plants and for microorganisms which are not designed to be used in a closed environment (e.g. microorganisms for use in agriculture), as well as for microorganisms which are designed for use in a closed environment, but which may accidentally be released therefrom.

Positive selection is a selection system whose operating principle is converse to negative selection. Rather than conferring resistance to a negative or toxic substance, positive selection involves conferring onto the transformed cell a metabolic, or other, competitive advantage over nontransformed cells. Positive selection systems identify and select genetically transformed cells without damaging or killing the non-transformed cells in the population and without co-introduction of antibiotic or herbicide resistance genes. As alluded to above, there is increasing concern that genes conferring resistance to antibiotics and/or herbicides may disperse and be incorporated into agriculturally destructive weeds and other plants, as well as pathogenic bacteria. Indeed, transgenic plants have been banned in the European Union. As a result, more and more investigative efforts are being made to develop positive selection systems for use in plants and other cell types.

Summary of the Invention

The subject invention relates to a positive selection system that involves conferring to transferred cells the ability to metabolize arabitol, ribitol, and/or mannitol. One aspect of the subject invention pertains to a gene construct comprising a gene of interest and a selectable marker gene. A specific aspect pertains to supplying to a population of cells at least one marker compound which is directly or indirectly active in the transformed cells containing the gene of

interest and is inactive or less active in the non-transformed cells whereby the transformed cells are provided with a selective advantage. Alternatively, the selective advantage is one wherein the expression of the gene of interest or the positive selecting gene leads to an increase in the activity of an enzyme found endogenously in the population of cells such that the activity of the enzyme in the transformed cells is greater than the activity of the enzyme in non-transformed cells.

According to a further aspect, the marker compound supplied to the population of cells is selected from the group consisting of arabitol, ribitol, mannitol or a derivative or variant thereof.

A further aspect pertains to transformed cells selected according to the above-recited method. Moreover, an additional aspect pertains to plants derived from said transformed cells.

According to an alternative aspect, the invention includes both positive selection and negative selection including the use of a gene coding for antibiotic or herbicide resistance.

Yet a further aspect of the invention relates to genetically transformed cells comprising a gene of interest and selectable marker gene wherein the selectable marker gene induces a positive effect in the transformed cells and gives said cells a selective advantage when a population of cells including the transformed cells and nontransformed cells is supplied with a compound.

Moreover, another aspect of the invention includes a method of selecting genetically transformed cells from a population of cells comprising

- a) introducing into the genome of a cell a gene of interest and a selectable marker gene;
- b) obtaining transformed cells;
- c) supplying to the population of cells a marker compound wherein said transformed cells have a selective advantage over non-transformed cells due to expression or transcription of the gene of interest or the selectable marker gene in the presence of the marker compound; and
- d) selecting said transformed cells from the population of cells wherein said selectable marker gene comprises a ribitol or D-arabitol dehydrogenase, a ribitol or D-arabitol kinase, a ribitol or D-arabitol transporter gene, or a combination thereof, and the compound is arabitol, ribitol or a derivative precursor thereof.

Further still, an additional aspect of the subject invention pertains to polynucleotide molecules that encode proteins having the biological activity of ribitol or arabitol dehydrogenase,

ribitol or arabitol kinase, ribitol or arabitol transporter, or ribitol or arabitol repressor. Specifically, the aspect pertains to a polynucleotide as shown in SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 2, or functional fragments and variants thereof. Furthermore, another aspect of the subject invention pertains to a polypeptide encoded by the polynucleotide molecules of the subject invention.

A further aspect of the subject invention pertains to cells transformed with the polynucleotide molecules of the subject invention. Specifically exemplified are transformed bacteria, fungi, yeast, animal and plant cells. More specifically exemplified are transformed bacteria and plant cells.

These and other advantageous aspects of the subject invention are described in further detail below.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the isolation and cloning of the *E. coli* rtl operon.

Figure 2 shows a graph representing comparative plasmid yields in DH10B of pBluescript and pMECA, growing in LB broth or 2B broth minimal medium supplemented with glucose, and of their ribitol derivatives growing on 2B minimal medium with ribitol. Plasmid yield data were collected at 17 and 41 hours. Plasmid yields for pBluescript-R and pMECA-R with a GUS construct cloned into their multiple cloning sites were also compared. Bars represent the average of three replications +/- standard error. Legend: The first letter signifies the plasmid backbone: B = pBluescript, M = pMECA. The second letter indicates the medium: L = Luria-Bertani broth, M=2B minimal medium. The third position denotes the carbohydrate source: a '-' means no additional carbohydrate, G = glucose, and R = ribitol. The number is for the amount of growth time: 17 or 41 hours. The final position denotes if the plasmid had an insert cloned into its multiple cloning site: a '-' means no insert, and G refers to a GUS construct.

Brief Description of the Sequences

SEQ ID NO:1 represents the nucleotide sequence of the arabitol dehydrogenase gene from *E. coli* strain C.

SEQ ID NO: 2 represents a polynucleotide molecule which comprises a nucleotide sequence encoding the rtl operon from *E. coli* strain C. Bases 96 to 848 encode for ribitol

dehydrogenase. Bases 859 to 2463 encode for ribitol kinase. Bases 2565 to 3839 encode for ribitol transporter.

SEQ ID NO: 3 represents the amino acid sequence of *E. coli* strain C ribitol dehydrogenase.

SEQ ID NO: 4 represents the amino acid sequence of *E. coli* strain C ribitol kinase.

SEQ ID NO: 5 represents the amino acid sequence of *E. coli* strain C ribitol transporter.

Detailed Disclosure of the Invention

The term “gene of interest” as used herein refers to any nucleotide sequence, which is to be incorporated into the cells to produce genetically transformed cells. Introduction of nucleotide sequence into plants, microorganisms and animals is widely practiced, and there are no limitations upon the nucleotide sequences whose presence may be detected by use of the positive selection method described herein. By use of the subject methods the presence of the gene of interest in the genetically transformed cells may be determined without the above-mentioned disadvantages associated with traditional negative selection systems.

The term “selectable marker gene” refers to any nucleotide sequence that is preferably co-introduced with a gene of interest, wherein a selective advantage is conferred to a cell transformed with said selectable marker gene.

In a preferred embodiment, the gene of interest is directed to one or more functional genes that are chosen to provide a new plant trait, to enhance an existing plant trait, or to otherwise modify expression of plant phenotypes exhibited by the plant. Such traits include herbicide resistance, pesticide resistance, disease resistance, environmental tolerance (e.g., heat, cold, drought, salinity), morphology, growth characteristics, nutritional content, taste yield, horticultural characteristics, consumer (quality) traits, and the like.

A functional gene to be introduced may be a structural gene which encodes a polypeptide which imparts the desired phenotype. Alternatively, the functional gene may be a regulatory gene which might play a role in transcriptional and/or translational control to suppress, enhance, or otherwise modify the transcription and/or expression of an endogenous gene within the plant. It will be appreciated that control of gene expression can have a direct impact on the observable plant characteristics.

Often the functional genes to be introduced will be modified from their native form. For example, sense and anti-sense constructs referred to above often have all or a portion of the transcript of the native gene operably linked to a promoter sequence at the 5' end of the transcribable segment, and operably linked to the 3' sequence of another gene (including polyadenylation sequences) at the 3' end of the transcribable segment. As is apparent to those skilled in the art, the promoter sequence could be one of the many plant active sequences already described. Alternatively, other plant-active promoter sequences could be derived specifically to be linked to the transcribable segment. The promoter can be endogenous to a particular plant species, or can be from an exogenous source such as a cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (Odell et al., Nature 313:810-812 (1985)), the ubiquitin 1 promoter, or the Smas promoter. The 3' end sequence to be added can be derived from another plant gene, or less preferably from any other eukaryotic gene.

The fact that a gene of interest is co-introduced with a selectable marker gene refers to the fact that the sequences are coupled to each other or otherwise introduced together in such a manner that the presence of the selectable marker gene in a cell indicates that the gene of interest has been introduced into the cell. The two nucleotide sequences are typically, although not necessarily, part of the same genetic construct and are introduced by the same vector. A genetic construct containing the two nucleotide sequences will typically, but not necessarily, contain regulatory sequences enabling expression of each nucleotide sequence for example, promoter and transcription terminators.

The term "cells" within the context of the present invention is intended to refer to any type of cells from which individual genetically transformed cells may be identified and isolated using the method of the invention, and includes cells of plants, animals and microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, yeast, etc. Furthermore, the term cell includes protoplasts. Particularly preferred cells are plant cells and bacteria. More particularly the transformed plant cells and plants, seeds or progeny derived therefrom include: fruits such as tomato, mango, peach, apple, pear, strawberry, banana and melon; field crops such as canola, sunflower, tobacco, soybean and sugar beet; small grain cereals such as wheat, barley, rice, corn, and cotton; ornamentals; forages such as alfalfa, clover, forage grasses; forest trees; and vegetables crops such as potato, carrot, lettuce, cabbage and onion. Most preferably are soybean and corn.

The term "population of cells" refers to any group of cells which has been subjected to genetic transformation. The population may be a tissue, an organ or a portion thereof, a population of individual cells in or on a substrate, for example, a culture of microorganism cells, or a whole organism, for example, an entire plant.

The term "selecting" refers to the process of identifying and/or isolating genetically transformed cells from the non-transformed cells in a population of cells using the methods disclosed herein.

The gene of interest and the selectable marker gene may be introduced independently. The same bacteria may be used for incorporation of both genes and incorporating a relatively large number of copies of the gene of interest into the cells, whereby the probability is relatively high that cells which are shown to express the selectable marker gene also will contain and express the gene of interest. Independent introduction of two or more genes resulting in co-expression of the genes in the same cell is generally expected to have low probability, and the improved selection frequencies obtained by the positive selection method described herein are therefore expected to be especially advantageous in such systems.

The term "marker compound" as used herein may be any compound or nutrient in inactive or precursor form which in the absence of, for example, expression of the selectable marker gene exists in a form which is substantially biologically inactive with respect to the cells in question, but which when the selectable marker gene is expressed or transcribed is hydrolyzed or otherwise activated or metabolized so as to provide the genetically transformed cells containing the gene of interest with a selective advantage, and thereby allowing the cells to be selected. Preferred compounds include, but are not limited to, arabinol or ribitol and derivatives or precursors thereof, and alternatively mannitol and derivatives and precursors thereof. A "derivative" of arabinol or ribitol refers to any compounds capable of being utilized by, binding to, being a substrate for, or a product of any protein involved, either directly or indirectly, in the metabolism of arabinol or ribitol.

The marker compound used in the invention need not be one which is activated directly by a polypeptide encoded by the selectable marker gene. It may be activated indirectly, for example whereby the selectable marker gene has an indirect effect upon the marker compound in genetically transformed cells but not in non-transformed cells. Thus, the selectable marker gene

may be one which upon expression in the transformed cells, for example, indirectly increases the activity of an enzyme which is endogenous to the population of cells, thereby leading to a greater enzyme activity and activation of the compound in question in the genetically transformed cells.

The term "selective advantage" as used herein includes the terms selective, metabolic and physiological advantage and means that the transformed cells are able to grow more quickly than disadvantaged (non-transformed) cells, or are advantageously able to utilize substrates (such as nutrient precursors, etc.) which disadvantaged cells are not able to utilize, or are able to detoxify substrates which are toxic or otherwise growth inhibitory to disadvantaged cells or a combination thereof. However, the non-transformed cells do not necessarily suffer any severe disadvantage in the sense of being damaged or killed or as is the case with negative selection using antibiotics or herbicides.

Therefore the positive selection as used in the context of the present invention refers to the use of a selectable marker gene which produces or increases a positive effect of an added compound on the transformed cells.

A protein which is "involved in the metabolism of a marker compound" is typically, but not exclusively, an enzyme which may be responsible directly or indirectly for the production or utilization of the marker compound or its derivatives or precursors. The protein may also be involved in the metabolism of a marker compound if it binds to it, transfers it from one site to another within or transport into the cell or tissue or organism or otherwise sequesters it thereby altering its local availability.

A region of nucleotide sequence which "regulates the activity of a gene encoding a protein" may alter the level of expression of an endogenous gene by being a promoter, or having a promoter activity therefor, and by being introduced in or near its vicinity. By "near" is meant up to 10,000 kb. Alternatively, indirect regulation may arise by altering the binding of RNA polymerase to the promoter of a structural gene encoding a protein, or complementary binding of the nucleotide sequence to at least a part of the structural gene, thus typically reducing the quantity of the protein in the cell.

Use of the present positive selection method in vivo is of particular relevance, for example, in connection with transformation performed on whole plants or on plant parts, in which the plants or parts comprise both transformed and non-transformed cells, since selection of

the transformed cells is achieved without directly damaging the neighboring non-transformed cells. The transformed cells thus have a selective "advantage" compared to the non-transformed cells (e.g. the ability to thrive and grow; in plants, e.g., the ability to form shoots, etc.), but the non-transformed cells do not suffer any severe disadvantage in the sense of being damaged or killed, as in the case with negative selection using antibiotics or herbicides.

The selective advantage possessed by the transformed cells may typically be a difference or advantage allowing the transformed cells to be identified by simple visual means, i.e. without the use of a separate assay to determine the presence of a marker gene.

A population of cells may be cultivated on or in a medium containing at least one compound which may be inactive and which is directly or indirectly activated in the transformed cells, the compound being inactive in non-transformed cells or less active in non-transformed cells than in transformed cells, such that the transformed cells are provided with a selective advantage allowing them to be selected from the cell population.

The population of cells may also be cultivated on or in a medium containing a compound which is made available for the transformed cells by expression or transcription of the nucleotide sequence, the compound not being available for the non-transformed cells or being less available for non-transformed cells, such that the transformed cells are provided with a selective advantage.

The cells may also be transformed with a selectable marker gene which may encode a permease or other transport factor which allows the marker compound to cross the cell membrane and enter the transformed cells or to cross another (organelle) membrane, so that "activation" of an inactive compound involves selective uptake of the compound by transformed cells, and uptake by non-transformed cells is not possible or takes place to a lesser extent. Instead of facilitating uptake of a compound into the cell, the positive selection gene may alternatively direct its product to a compartment in which the inactive compound is located, for example, outside the plasma membrane or into the vacuole or the endoplasmic reticulum.

A compound used for selection purposes may in addition have both a positive and a negative effect. For example, certain carbon sources in sufficiently high concentrations can be toxic to most plants, but in cells containing arabitol or ribitol metabolizing enzymes, the negative effect is eliminated and the cells further obtain the benefit of being able to use these compounds

as a carbohydrate source. In this case a single compound and a single or group genes together provide a combined positive and negative selection system, although such a system may also be established using two or more genes which together are responsible for inhibition of the negative effects of a compound and manifestation of the positive effects of the compound in the transformed cells.

The cells may be transformed with any nucleotide sequence which it is desired to incorporate therein to. Such a nucleotide sequence may encode genes providing for viral, fungal, bacterial or nematode resistance.

The protein encoded by the gene of interest or preferably the selectable marker gene is preferably an enzyme involved in arabitol or ribitol metabolism. Such enzymes include ribitol or D-arabitol dehydrogenase, ribitol or D-arabitol kinase, or D-ribitol or D-arabitol transporter gene. Scangos and Reiner, *Journal of Bacteriology*, 134:492-500 (1978).

Examples of compounds which can exert a physiological effect upon entering the cell, but which are not easily taken up into the cell or a cell compartment, are strongly hydrophilic or hydrophobic compounds, in particular charged compounds, large molecules such as polymers, in particular proteins, peptides, oligo- and polysaccharides, including plant hormones, phosphorylated metabolites such as phosphorylated carbohydrates, phosphorylated vitamins, phosphorylated nucleosides, including cytokinins, and compounds which are conjugated to carboxylic acid-containing carbohydrates or amino acids, including plant hormone conjugates.

Also, it is contemplated that the basic method of the present invention may be modified so that, instead of activating an inactive compound or nutrient in the transformed cells, selection may be performed by blocking the metabolism or synthesis of a compound in these cells.

When a polypeptide encoded by the selectable marker gene or the gene of interest directly activates an inactive compound or nutrient in the transformed cells, the non-transformed cells may in certain cases contain or produce a certain amount of the polypeptide in question. For example, when the activating polypeptide is an enzyme, the non-transformed cells may contain a certain native enzyme activity, the native enzyme being of the same type as the introduced activating enzyme. In such cases the "inactive compound or nutrient" need not necessarily be completely inactive in the non-transformed cells, since it may be sufficient that the compound or nutrient is merely substantially less active in non-transformed cells than in transformed cells. In

other words, a qualitative difference between the transformed cells and the non-transformed cells with regard to activation of the initially inactive compound or nutrient may in certain cases be sufficient for selection purposes. In such cases inhibitors or substrates which compete with the native enzymes may be added. Especially suitable are inhibitors activated by the native enzyme, resulting in self-catalyzed production of the active inhibitor to a level at which the native enzyme is substantially totally inhibited.

The various methods employed in the preparation of the plasmids and transformation of host organisms are well known in the art and are described, for example, in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,011,909 and 5,130,253. These patents are incorporated herein by reference. These procedures are also described in Sambrook *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York. (1989). Methods of transformation for use in accord with the subject invention can include those conventional to the art, such as use of *Agrobacterium*, viral vectors, microinjection, PEG, biolistics, and electroporation which are all routinely used to introduce foreign DNA into plant cells. The mode of transformation is not necessarily critical to the subject methods. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that as other transformation methods are developed, these new transformation methods can be practiced in accord with the teachings herein. Once in the cell, the foreign DNA is incorporated into the plant genome. In a particular embodiment, the transformation contemplates constructing a vector comprising a gene of interest and a selectable marker gene, placing the vector into a selected strain of *Agrobacterium*, and treating selected plant cells with the *Agrobacterium* under conditions sufficient to result in transfer of at least some of the vectors from the *Agrobacterium* to the plant cells, whereby the polynucleotide is expressed in the plant cells. Regulatory sequences can include both promoter and termination sequences.

Possible regulatory sequences can include, but are not limited to, any promoter already shown to be constitutive for expression, such as those of viral origin (CaMV 19S and 35S, TMV, AMV) or so-called "housekeeping" genes (ubiquitin, actin, tubulin) with their corresponding termination/poly A + sequences. Also, seed-and/or developmentally-specific promoters, such as those from plant fatty acid/lipid biosynthesis genes (ACPs, acyltransferases, desaturases, lipid transfer protein genes) or from storage protein genes (zein, napin, cruciferin, conglycinin, or lectin genes, for example), with their corresponding termination/poly A + sequences can be used

for targeted expression. In addition, the gene can be placed under the regulation of inducible promoters and their termination sequences so that gene expression is induced by light (*rbcS-3A*, *cab-1*), heat (*hsp* gene promoters) or wounding (mannopine, HGPGs). It is clear to one skilled in the art that a promoter may be used either in native or truncated form, and may be paired with its own or a heterologous termination/polyA + sequence.

Plant tissue for use in transformation may be obtained from any suitable plant, *i.e.*, known to be susceptible to transformation by known methods. Appropriate plant tissue includes, but is not limited to, leaves, hypocotyls, cotyledons, stems, callus, single cells, and protoplasts.

In a particular embodiment, transformed callus tissue is selected by growth on selection medium (*e.g.*, medium which contains carbon source only utilizable by transformed plant cells). Transformed plants are regenerated and screened for the presence of the gene of interest. This involves analyzing tissue by at least one molecular or biological assays to determine which, if any, transformants contained the gene of interest. These assays include assays or observation of the tissue for growth, and assays of the tissue for the presence of gene of interest by, for example, a Southern assay or a PCR assay.

Those plants which are positive for the gene of interest are grown to maturity, and tissue can be analyzed for the expression of the gene of interest by looking for the polypeptide encoded by the polynucleotide, as for example via a Western blot analysis, and for the phenotype conferred to the plant by the gene of interest.

It is now well known in the art that when synthesizing a gene for improved expression in a host cell it is desirable to design the gene such that its frequency of codon usage approaches the frequency of preferred codon usage of the host cell. For purposes of the subject invention, "frequency of preferred codon usage" refers to the preference exhibited by a specific host cell in usage of nucleotide codons to specify a given amino acid. To determine the frequency of usage of a particular codon in a gene, the number of occurrences of that codon in the gene is divided by the total number of occurrences of all codons specifying the same amino acid in the gene. Similarly, the frequency of preferred codon usage exhibited by a plant cell can be calculated by averaging frequency of preferred codon usage in a large number of genes expressed by the plant cell. It is preferable that this analysis be limited to genes that are highly expressed by the host cell.

Thus, in one embodiment of the subject invention, plant cells can be genetically engineered, e.g., transformed with genetic constructs to attain desired expression levels of the gene of interest. To provide genes having enhanced expression, the DNA sequence of the gene of interest can be modified to comprise codons preferred by highly expressed genes to attain an A+T content in nucleotide base composition which is substantially that found in the transformed host cell. It is also preferable to form an initiation sequence optimal for said plant cell, and to eliminate sequences that cause destabilization, inappropriate polyadenylation, degradation and termination of RNA and to avoid sequences that constitute secondary structure hairpins and RNA splice sites. For example, in synthetic genes, the codons used to specify a given amino acid can be selected with regard to the distribution frequency of codon usage employed in highly expressed genes in the plant cell to specify that amino acid. As is appreciated by those skilled in the art, the distribution frequency of codon usage utilized in the synthetic gene is a determinant of the level of expression.

In a preferred embodiment, the selectable marker genes pertain to SEQ ID NO.: 1 and SEQ ID NO.:2 as well as fragments or functional mutants thereof that are capable of metabolizing a marker compound to confer a selective advantage. Such fragments and mutants will be readily obtainable following the teachings herein coupled with the state of the art. For example, using specifically exemplified polynucleotides as probes, useful polynucleotides can be obtained under conditions of appropriate stringency. The present invention further relates to variants of the present polynucleotides which encode for fragments, analogs and derivatives of the polypeptides having the sequences shown in SEQ ID NO.: 3, SEQ ID NO.:4, and SEQ ID NO.:5. A variant of the polynucleotide may be a naturally occurring variant such as a naturally occurring allelic variant, or it may be a variant that is not known to occur naturally. Such non-naturally occurring variants of the polynucleotide may be made by mutagenesis techniques, including those applied to polynucleotides, cells or organisms.

Among variants in this regard are variants that differ from the aforementioned polynucleotides by nucleotide substitutions, deletions or additions. The substitutions may involve one or more nucleotides. The variants may be altered in coding or non-coding regions or both. Alterations in the coding regions may produce conservative or non-conservative amino acid substitutions, deletions or additions.

Among the particularly preferred embodiments of the invention in this regard are polynucleotides encoding polypeptides having the amino acid sequences shown in SEQ ID NO.: 3, SEQ ID NO.:4, and SEQ ID NO.:5; variants, analogs, derivatives and fragments thereof.

Further particularly preferred in this regard are polynucleotides encoding one or more gene products of the ribitol or arabitol operons (e.g., RtlT, RtlK, RtlD, RtlR, AtlD proteins), or combinations thereof, and fragments, and variants, analogs and derivatives of the fragments, which have the amino acid sequences exemplified herein in which several, a few, 1 to 10, 1 to 5, 1 to 3, 2, 1 or no amino acid residues are substituted, deleted or added, in any combination. Especially preferred among these are silent substitutions, additions and deletions, which do not alter the properties and activities of the proteins. Also especially preferred in this regard are conservative substitutions. Most highly preferred are polynucleotides encoding polypeptides shown in SEQ ID NO.: 3, SEQ ID NO.:4, and/or SEQ ID NO.:5 without substitutions.

Further preferred embodiments of the invention are polynucleotides that are greater than 79%, preferably at least 85%, more preferably at least 90% identical to a polynucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO.: 1 and SEQ ID NO.:2, and polynucleotides which are complementary to such polynucleotides. Among these particularly preferred polynucleotides, those with at least 90%, 95%, 98% or at least 99% identity are especially preferred.

Particularly preferred embodiments in this respect, moreover, are polynucleotides which encode polypeptides which retain substantially the same or even exhibit a reduction in the biological function or activity as the mature polypeptide encoded by the polynucleotides described above.

The present invention further relates to polynucleotides that hybridize to the herein above-described sequences. In this regard, the present invention especially relates to polynucleotides which hybridize under stringent conditions to the herein above-described polynucleotides. A preferred level of stringency is such that hybridization will only occur if there is at least 85 %, and preferably still 90%, and more preferably 95%, and even more preferably 97% identity between the sequences. The terms "identity" and "similarity", as used herein, and as known in the art, are relationships between two polypeptide sequences or two polynucleotide sequences, as determined by comparing the sequences. In the art, identity also means the degree of sequence relatedness between two polypeptide or two polynucleotide sequences as determined

by the match between two strings of such sequences. Both identity and similarity can be readily calculated (Computational Molecular Biology, Lesk, A.M., ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 1988; Biocomputing: Informatics and Genome Projects, Smith, D. W., ed., Academic Press, New York, 1993; Computer Analysis of Sequence Data, Part I, Griffin, A. M., and Griffin, H. G., eds., Humana Press, New Jersey, 1994; Sequence Analysis in Molecular Biology, von Heinje, G., Academic Press, 1987; and Sequence Analysis Primer, Gribskov, M. and Devereux, J., eds., M Stockton is Press, New York, 1991). Methods commonly employed to determine identity or similarity between two sequences include, but are not limited to those disclosed in Carillo, H., and Lipman, D., SIAM J. Applied Math., 48:1073 (1988). Preferred methods to determine identity are designed to give the largest match between the two sequences tested. Methods to determine identity and similarity are codified in computer programs. Typical computer program methods to determine identity and similarity between two sequences include, GCG program package (Devereux, J., et al., Nucleic Acids Research 12(1):387 (1984)), BLASTP, BLASTN, FASTA and TFASTA (Atschul, S. F. et al., J. Mol. Biol. 215:403 (1990)).

The terms "stringent conditions" or "stringent hybridization conditions" includes reference to conditions under which a probe will hybridize to its target sequence, to a detectably greater degree than other sequences (e.g., at least 2-fold over background). Stringent conditions are sequence-dependent and will be different in different circumstances. By controlling the stringency of the hybridization and/or washing conditions, target sequences can be identified which are 100% complementary to the probe (homologous probing). Alternatively, stringency conditions can be adjusted to allow some mismatching in sequences so that lower degrees of similarity are detected (heterologous probing). Generally, a probe is less than about 1000 nucleotides in length, preferably less than 500 nucleotides in length.

Typically, stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is less than about 1.5M Na ion, typically about 0.01 to 1.0M Na ion concentration (or other salts) at pH 7.0 to 8.3 and the temperature is at least about 30⁰ C for short probes (e.g., 10 to 50 nucleotides) and at least about 60⁰C for long probes (e.g., greater than 50 nucleotides). Stringent conditions may also be achieved with the addition of destabilizing agents such as formamide. Exemplary low stringency conditions include hybridization with a buffer solution of 30 to 35% formamide, 1M NaCl, 1% SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) at 37⁰ C, and a wash in 1X to 2X SSC (20X SSC=3.0M

NaCl/0.3M trisodium citrate) at 50 to 55⁰ C. Exemplary moderate stringency conditions include hybridization in 40 to 45% formamide, 1M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37⁰ C., and a wash in 0.5X to 1X SSC at 55 to 60⁰ C. Exemplary high stringency conditions include hybridization in 50% formamide, 1M NaCl, 1% SDS at 37⁰ C., and a wash in 0.1X SSC at 60 to 65⁰ C.

Specificity is typically the function of post-hybridization washes, the critical factors being the ionic strength and temperature of the final wash solution. For DNA--DNA hybrids, the T_m can be approximated from the equation of Meinkoth and Wahl, *Anal. Biochem.*, 138:267-284 (1984): $T_m = 81.5^{\circ} \text{C} + 16.6 (\log M) + 0.41 (\% \text{ GC}) - 0.61 (\% \text{ form}) - 500/L$; where M is the molarity of monovalent cations, % GC is the percentage of guanosine and cytosine nucleotides in the DNA, % form is the percentage of formamide in the hybridization solution, and L is the length of the hybrid in base pairs. The T_m is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH) at which 50% of a complementary target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched probe. T_m is reduced by about 1⁰ C. for each 1% of mismatching; thus, T_m , hybridization and/or wash conditions can be adjusted to hybridize to sequences of the desired identity. For example, if sequences with 90% identity are sought, the T_m can be decreased 10⁰ C. Generally, stringent conditions are selected to be about 5⁰ C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m) for the specific sequence and its complement at a defined ionic strength and pH.

However, severely stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 1, 2, 3, or 4⁰ C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m); moderately stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10⁰ C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m); low stringency conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, or 20⁰ C lower than the thermal melting point (T_m) Using the equation, hybridization and wash compositions, and desired T_m , those of ordinary skill will understand that variations in the stringency of hybridization and/or wash solutions are inherently described. If the desired degree of mismatching results in a T_m of less than 45⁰ C (aqueous solution) or 32⁰ C (formamide solution) it is preferred to increase the SSC concentration so that a higher temperature can be used. An extensive guide to the hybridization of nucleic acids is found in Tijssen, *Laboratory Techniques in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology--Hybridization with Nucleic Acid Probes*, Part I, Chapter 2 "Overview of principles of hybridization and the strategy of nucleic acid probe

assays", Elsevier, N.Y. (1993); and Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, Chapter 2, Ausubel, et al., Eds., Greene Publishing and Wiley-Interscience, New York (1995).

The teachings of all of the references cited throughout this specification are incorporated herein by this reference to the extent that they are not inconsistent with the teachings herein. It should be understood that the examples and embodiments described herein are for illustrative purposes only and that various modifications or changes in light thereof will be suggested to persons skilled in the art and are to be included within the spirit and purview of this application and the scope of the appended claims.

Example 1: Transformation of *E. coli* with *rtl* operon and growth on ribitol medium

Materials and Methods

Initial work was done with clones of the operon for ribitol metabolism (*Rbt*) from *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, supplied by S. Turgot, Universität Osanbrück. From these, a ~7.2 kb *Bam*HI fragment was obtained from pFCK1, which contains the entire *Rbt* operon, plus approximately 2.3 kb of sequences 3' from the operon. A ~6.51 kb *Hind*III-*Bam*HI fragment was obtained from pLTH9, which lacks the first 720 bp from the repressor. Finally, a ~3.98 kb *Cl*aI fragment was obtained from pLTH1, which lacks the repressor altogether, as well as any sequences 3' to the operon. All bacteria were grown at 37°C and shaken at 275-300 rpm.

The *K. pneumoniae* fragments were released via enzymatic digestion as recommended by the manufacturer (NEB, Beverly, MA), and blunted into the *Stu*I site of pMECA (Thomson and Parrott 1998). Following T4 DNA ligation with Fastlink ligase (Epicentre, Madison, WI), pMECA was transformed via electroporation into *E. coli* strain DH10B (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD) and placed in 2B minimal broth as recommended by BRL (Bethesda, MD) supplemented with 2 g l⁻¹ of ribitol (= adonitol, Sigma, St. Louis), 50 mg l⁻¹ each of L-leucine and L-isoleucine, and 1 mg l⁻¹ thiamine. The inorganic components of 2B medium are in Table 1. All organic components were filter-sterilized. Only successful cloning events of *rbt* were expected to result in bacterial growth, and successful growth demonstrated that ribitol could be used to maintain a high-copy plasmid in an *E. coli* K-12 strain.

Next, the corresponding genes of the *rtl* operon were isolated from *E. coli* strain C, which was obtained as stock number 3121 from the *E. coli* Genetic Stock Center at Yale University, and grown in 2B minimal broth supplemented with 2 g l⁻¹ of ribitol. Total genomic DNA was isolated according to Syn and Swarup (2000). The genomic DNA was subject to digestion by *Cla*I. Following T4 DNA ligation into the corresponding site of pHEX3 (Heuel et al. 1997) and transformation into DH10B, incubation took place in 5 ml of 2B minimal broth supplemented as described previously. After 24 hours, 1 ml was placed in 25 ml of the same medium. As before, only successful cloning events could result in bacterial growth. Next, bacterial colonies were obtained following plating an aliquot of the liquid medium onto plates with solid LB medium supplemented with 20 mg l⁻¹ chloramphenicol. A single colony was grown in TB, and plasmid DNA isolated using a QuantumPrep kit (Biorad, Hercules, CA) and sequenced using a Model 377 automated DNA sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) by the University of Georgia Molecular Genetics Instrumentation Facility. Sequence comparisons were made using the on-line BLAST programs of the National Center for Biotechnology Information (Bethesda, MD), and the GAP program of the Wisconsin Package, ver. 10.1 (Genetics Computer Group, Madison, WI). To replace *bla* from pBluescript (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and pMECA with the *rtl* components, the *Cla*I fragment liberated with enzymatic digestion, blunt-ended, and isolated as a 4-kb fragment from a low melting point Seaplaque agarose gel (FMC Bioproducts, Rockland, ME). The recipient plasmids were cut open with *Ahd*I, blunted with T4 DNA polymerase and digested with *Ssp*I to remove nearly the entire sequence of the *bla* gene. The fragment was ligated into the plasmids using T4 DNA ligase. These events are diagramed in Figure 1. Ligated plasmids were transformed into DH10B and grown in 2B minimal broth supplemented with 2 g l⁻¹ of ribitol. For DH10B, the 2B medium was additionally supplemented with 50 mg l⁻¹ each of L-leucine and L-isoleucine, and 1 mg l⁻¹ thiamine. The resulting plasmids were named pMECA-R and pBluescript-R. Plasmid maps were drawn with Plasmid 1.1 (Redasoft, Toronto, Canada).

Growth rates and plasmid yields were compared for pMECA, pMECA-R, pBluescript, and pBluescript-R in DH10B. Both pMECA and pBluescript were grown in LB broth and in 2B minimal broth supplemented with 2 g l⁻¹ glucose. pMECA-R and pBluescript-R were grown in 2B minimal broth supplemented as described above. Bacteria were inoculated into 2 ml of their

respective media, allowed to grow for 17 or 41 hours, and plasmid DNA isolated as described previously. Plasmid yield was quantified with a DNA fluorometer (Hoefer Scientific, San Francisco, CA). Each treatment was repeated three times.

Plasmid yields were also quantified for pMECA-R and pBluescript-R containing an insert in their MCSs. A *PstI/AscI* fragment from pTFG containing the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter-GUS-nos terminator construct out of pTRA140 (Zheng et al. 1991) was ligated into the *PstI* and *AscI* sites of pMECA-R and a *PstI-StuI* fragment of pTFG into the *PstI* and *EcoRV* sites of pBluescript-R. The ligated plasmids were transformed into DH10B, cultured, isolated, and quantified as described above.

Results and Discussion

The ribitol operon from *E. coli* C contains 4 genes, in order as follows: *rtlR*, *rtlD*, *rtlK*, and *rtlT*. The first is a repressor, and contains the promoter for the operon. *RtlD* codes for NAD-dependent ribose dehydrogenase which converts ribose to D-ribulose. *RtlK* codes for ATP-dependent ribulose kinase, which converts D-ribulose to D-ribulose-5-phosphate, and which can be metabolized by all *E. coli* strains. Finally, *rtlT* codes for a ribose-specific ion symporter protein (Heuel et al. 1998).

Though the *Bam*HI, *Cla*I, and *Hind*III-*Bam*HI *rbt* fragments all conferred the ability to grow on ribitol, the *Cla*I fragment, which completely lacks the repressor, was all that was required for growth on ribitol, so subsequent work did not attempt to include *rtlR* in the cloning work. The sequence of this *Cla*I fragment has been deposited in GenBank, Accession No. AY005817. The ribitol operons of *Klebsiella* and *Escherichia* are thought to have a common evolutionary origin, and Heuel et al. (1998) reported 82% base identity between *rbt* and *rtl* based on partial sequencing. A BLAST comparison using complete sequences between the *K*, *D*, and *T* components of *rbt* and *rtl*, while revealing highly conserved regions, found greater homology at the amino acid level than the DNA level. The base pair identity for the *rbt* and *rtl* *Cla*I fragments was 70%. Amino acid identity was 87, 70, and 83% between the *rbt* and *rtl* dehydrogenase, kinase, and transporter components, respectively. When substitution with similar amino acids is considered, the homologies rise to 94, 79, and 89%, respectively.

The *bla* gene is only 788 bp long, in contrast to *rtlK*, *rtlD*, and *rtlT*, which are collectively 3971 bp long. We were able to compensate to some extent for the size difference by eliminating some of the DNA flanking the *bla* gene. Nevertheless, pMECA-R is 31683 bp larger than pMECA, and pBluescript-R is 3032 bp larger than pBluescript. Replacing *bla* with *rtlD*, *rtlK*, and *rtlT* inevitably brought along enzyme sites which led to the loss of uniqueness of enzyme sites within the pMECA and pBluescript MCSs. Nevertheless, pMECA-R still has 30 unique sites, while pBluescript-R has 18 unique sites. These are listed in Table 2.

Plasmid yields for DH10B are depicted in Figure 2. Heuel et al. (1998) reported that high-copy number plasmids containing *dal* or *rbt* were unstable under arabinol or ribitol selection, but we did not experience any such difficulties.

For the Bluescript plasmids, yields were higher in 2B minimal medium than in the standard LB broth. Yields of the ribitol version were essentially like those of the ampicillin version when grown in minimal medium. On a molar basis, yields in 2B minimal medium with ribitol are lower than those in 2B minimal medium with glucose, as the ribitol version of pBluescript is twice as large as the ampicillin version. Insertion of a GUS construct into the MCS did not significantly affect plasmid yield.

For the MECA plasmids, yields were negligible at the 17-h time point. When pMECA lacks an insert in its MCS, the growth rate of its host cells is slowed down, an effect that is particularly pronounced in strain DH10B. This slow-growth trait is useful to identify colonies containing inserts (Thomson and Parrott 1998). By 41 hours, growth of pMECA in 2B minimal medium was significantly greater than that in LB, although the ampicillin version outgrew the ribitol version. Yields for pMECA-R containing an insert were as high after 41 hours as those for pBluescript-R after 17 hours.

Ribitol selection should work with any K-12 strain of *E. coli*. The K-12 strains in use today have an absolute requirement for essential nutrients in the growth medium, as the parent strain has been subject to extensive mutagenesis since its isolation in 1922 (Bachmann 1972). Since different K-12 strains have different growth requirements, minimal growth medium must be adjusted for each K-12 strain by adding the necessary nutrients that each particular strain requires.

Finally, Reiner (1975) listed individual bacterial strains which have been identified based on their ability to metabolize either sucrose or raffinose. The cloning of these operons should permit the development of additional vectors which would permit the use of these carbohydrates in a selection system, either for the maintenance of plasmids in bacteria, or for the selection and recovery of transgenic plants.

References

- Bachmann BJ (1972) Pedigrees of some mutant strains of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Bacteriol Rev* 36:525-557
- Heuel H, Shakeri-Garakani A, Turgut S, Lengeler JW (1998) Genes for D-arabinitol and ribitol catabolism from *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Microbiology* 144:1631-1639
- Heuel H, Turgut S, Schmid K, Lengeler JW (1997) Substrate recognition domains as revealed by active hybrids between the D-arabitol and ribitol transporters from *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *J Bacteriol* 179:6014-6019
- Klein TM, Wolf ED, Wu R, Sanford JC (1987) High-velocity microprojectiles for delivering nucleic acids into living cells. *Nature* 327:70-73
- NCTC (1983) Catalogue of the National Collection of Type Cultures, 6th Edition. Public Health Laboratory Service, London
- Reiner AM (1975) Genes for ribitol and D-arabitol catabolism in *Escherichia coli*: their loci in C strains and absence in K-12 and B strains. *J Bacteriol* 123:530-536
- Syn CKC, Swarup S (2000) A scalable protocol for the isolation of large-sized genomic DNA within an hour from several bacteria. *Anal Biochem* 278:86-90
- Tartof KD, Hobbs CA (1987) Improved media for growing plasmid and cosmid clones. *Focus* 9:12-16
- Thomson JM, Parrott WA (1998) pMECA: A cloning plasmid with 44 unique restriction sites that allows selection of recombinants based on colony size. *BioTechniques* 24:922-927.
- Wiman M, Bertani G, Kelly B, Sasaki I (1970) Genetic map of *Escherichia coli* strain C. *Mol Gen Genet* 107:1-31
- Zheng Z, Hayashimoto A, Li Z, Murai N (1991) Hygromycin resistance gene cassettes for vector construction and selection of transformed rice plants. *Plant Physiol* 97:832-835

Example 2: Isolation and Sequencing of Arabitol Dehydrogenase

Total genomic DNA was isolated from *E. coli* strain C, digested with PstI, the resulting fragments were ligated into pBluescript, and transformed into *E. coli* DH10B. The *E. coli* was then grown on 2B Minimal Medium as described by LaFayette and Parrott (2001; A non

antibiotic marker for amplification of plant transformation vectors in *E. coli*. Plant Cell Rep, In press), except that arabitol was substituted for ribitol.

One colony able to grow on arabitol was isolated, and the plasmid purified from it was digested with PstI, releasing 3 fragments. Individually subcloning the larger fragments into pBluescript did not give the ability to grow on arabitol to the *E. coli* host. Hence, the correct fragment was identified by using the universal primer sites on pBluescript to amplify the insert and sequence its ends. One of the sequenced ends was homologous to the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* arabitol transporter. Evidently, the PstI cleaved the *E. coli* transporter, and without an intact transporter, *E. coli* cannot grow on arabitol.

Once the correct fragment was identified, the EZ::TN Insertion Kit from Epicentre Technologies was used. Primer sites on the TN transposon were used to determine which colonies had inserts in the arabitol operon, and which had them in the vector sequence. Plasmid was isolated from four colonies with transposon insertions in the arabitol operon, and the primer sites on the transposon used to amplify the insert fragments. These were sent for sequencing at the University of Georgia's Molecular Genetics Instrumentation Facility. The resulting sequences were assembled using BLAST to align the regions homologous to the enzyme counterparts in *P. klebsiella*. The sequence was determined to be the arabitol dehydrogenase gene (SEQ ID NO. 1). The second DNA strand was sequenced, and the resulting sequence was used to verify the sequence of the first strand.

Because plants have the ability to grow on D-xylulose (Haldrup, et al., 1998), transformation of plants with atID confers the ability to grow in a medium containing arabitol. We have confirmed that soybean embryos are capable of growing on fructose. See also Linn 1996. Accordingly, because atID confers the ability to convert mannitol to fructose (Viola, 1996; Kanabus, et al., 1986), transformation of plants with atID should also confer the ability to grow in a medium containing mannitol.

References

Haldrup, A., Petersen, S. & Okkels, F. (1998) Positive selection: A plant selection principle based on xylose isomerase, an enzyme used in the food industry. *Plant Cell Rep.* 18, 76-81.

- Kanabus, J., Bressan, R. & Carpita, N. (1986) Carbon assimilation in carrot cells in liquid culture. *Physiol. Plant.* 82, 363-368.
- Linn, E. (1961) An inducible D-arabitol dehydrogenase from *Aerobacter aerogenes*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 236, 31-36.
- Viola, R. (1996) Hexose metabolism in discs excised from developing potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) tubers. II. Estimations of fluxes in vivo and evidence that fructokinase catalyses a near rate-limiting reaction. *Planta* 198, 186-196.

Example 3: Transformation of Plant cells *rbt* or *dal* operon.

Somatic embryos of Jack, a *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill cultivar with high embryogenic capacity, are induced from immature cotyledons, proliferated and maintained. See, as described by, Samoylov et al., *Plant Cell Rep* 18:49-54; Bailey et al., *In Vitro-Plant.* 29P:102-108 (1993); and Bailey et al., *Plant Sci.* 93:117-120 (1993). Approximately 90 d after induction and 1 week after liquid suspensions are subcultured, clumps of globular-stage embryos are bombarded. The average clump diameter is 2 mm. Plasmid DNA produced by the procedures in Examples 1 and/or 2 is isolated using an alkaline lysis/ PEG purification procedure (Yeung and Lau, *Biotechniques*. 15(3):381-2 (1993)), and is preferably coupled with a known promoter according to standard protocols. Plasmid DNA is suspended at equimolar amounts in a final concentration of 1 µg/L and precipitated onto 1-µm gold particles according to conventional protocols (see, e.g., Christou et al., *Plant Physiology* 87:671-674 (1988)). The Bio-Rad PDS 1000H is used for bombardment. Each plate is bombarded twice using 650-psi rupture discs supplied by Bio-Rad in a 700-mm mercury vacuum. First, embryos are transferred to an open Petri dish and desiccated for 15 min and then mashed gently with a spatula. Ten plates of somatic embryos (1 g per plate) are bombarded. Following bombardment, embryos are dried for 30 min in a covered Petri dish. The embryos are subsequently transferred to MSD20 medium (Bailey et al., 1993, *supra*). Alternatively, *Agrobacterium* harboring a vector containing SEQ ID NO 1 or nucleotide sequences encoding the polypeptides shown in SEQ ID NOS: 3, 4, and/or 5 are used to transfer shoot segments according to standard protocols.

Example 4: Selection of Transgenic Plant cells using Arabitol or Ribitol as Selectable Markers and Plant Regeneration

The clumps in each plate as describe in Example 3, the day after bombardment, are divided and transferred onto two selection plates containing MSD20 medium containing arabitol, ribitol, or mannitol (0-25 g/L). Preferably, the arabitol, ribitol, mannitol is provided in equimolar amounts with the sucrose in the MSD20 medium. Transfers to fresh medium are made every 3 weeks.

At week 6, surviving embryonic clusters are transferred to liquid FN medium (Samoylov et al., *In Vitro Cell Dev.Biol.- Plant* 34:8-13 (1998)). At this point putative transgenic cell lines are separated and tracked. Cells are allowed to acclimate for 4 weeks with a transfer to fresh medium after 2 weeks. Then, the clusters are transferred to FN containing arabitol or ribitol (0-25 g/L) for 2 weeks of final selection. The transgenic somatic embryos are germinated and converted using previously published methods (Bailey et al., 1993, *supra*). The T₀ plants are grown in 4-L pots in a sand: loam:bark mixture (1:1:1, w/w Hyponex Maryville, OH) under 23-h photoperiods (for vegetative growth) for 2 months in a glass greenhouse. Flowers are induced using 12-h photoperiods for the duration of the plants' life cycle. The T₁ plants are grown under similar conditions.

Table 1. Composition of 2B minimal medium. The final medium contains 100 ml of 2B stock I, 50 ml of 2B stock II, and 20 ml of 2B stock III per liter.

Stock	Component	Amount per 100 mL
2B Stock I	NH ₄ Cl	2 g
	KH ₂ P	6 g
	O ₄	12 g
	Na ₂ HP O ₄	
2B Stock II	MgSO	0.26 g
	4·7H ₂	
	O	
2B Stock III	CaCl ₂ · 2H ₂ O	0.10 g

Table 2. Unique enzyme sites in the multiple cloning sites of pMECA-R and pBluescript-R

pMECA-R		pBluescript-R
<i>EcoRI</i>	<i>NaeI</i>	<i>SacI</i>
<i>EcoRV</i>	<i>FseI</i>	<i>NotI</i>
<i>SpeI</i>	<i>AvrII</i>	<i>EagI</i>
<i>NotI</i>	<i>HpaI</i>	<i>XbaI</i>
<i>Acc651</i>	<i>HindIII</i>	<i>SpeI</i>
<i>KpnI</i>	<i>NgoMIV</i>	<i>SmaI</i>
<i>XhoI</i>	<i>NheI</i>	<i>PstI</i>
<i>BssHII</i>	<i>SwaI</i>	<i>EcoRI</i>
<i>AscI</i>		<i>EcoRV</i>
<i>XbaI</i>		<i>HindIII</i>
<i>SfiI</i>		<i>HincII</i>
<i>Bsp120I</i>		<i>AccI</i>
<i>ApaI</i>		<i>SalI</i>
<i>PacI</i>		<i>XhoI</i>
<i>PmeI</i>		<i>ApaI</i>
<i>SalI</i>		<i>EcoO1091</i>
<i>AccI</i>		<i>DraII</i>
<i>XmaI</i>		<i>KpnI</i>
<i>SmaI</i>		
<i>SrfI</i>		
<i>PstI</i>		
<i>SphI</i>		
